Demolition of Kapasara Collery's General Manager's bungalow

2580. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a recently decorated and remodelled bungalow of the General Manager of Kapasara Colliery has been demolished; if so, the cost of remodelling it and the justification for incurring such a high cost in renovating the bungalow, especially when it was to be demolished;

(b) whether it is a fact that many of the costly decorative parts of the building are now missing after it was dismantled and

(c) whether Government propose to order a probe into this matter?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY (SHRI VASANT SATHE): (a) and (b) The bungalow used by General Manager, Kapasara Colliery was one constructed by erstwhile owners in 1960. In 1980-81, this bungalow together with other houses in the vicinity were badly damaged due to heavy blasting in the quarry. In order to make the bungalow livable, its renovation at a cost of Rs. 58,000 had to be done in 1980-81. However, the bungalow together with other houses in its vicinity has been demolised recently because coal reserves worth about Rs. 40 crores were locked-up under these structures. The decorative parts of the bungalow have been accounted for and used in other buildings of the area.

(c) No, Sir.

Cases filed against Union of India in High Courts

2581. SHRI JERLIE E. TARIANG: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases filed against Union of India in different High Courts during the last one year; and

(b) the number of cases with reasons lost by Union of India during the period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) The assumption underlying the question is that the Ministry of Law maintains record of the number of cases filed against the Union of India in different High Courts and the number of cases lost by the Union of India. The factual position, however, is that the Ministry of Law only maintains Panels of Central Government Counsel to represent the Union of India in Civil matters in various courts including the High Courts. In addition to this, some administrative Ministries like the Ministry of Railways and the Ministry of Finance maintain their own Panels of Counsels to represent the Union of India in all courts including the High Courts. No record is maintained in the Ministry of Law in regard to the number of cases filed against the Union of India in different High Courts or the number of cases "won" or "lost".

Different norms for the manufacture of bulk drugs

2582. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have proposed different options getting returns for the manufacturers of bulk drugs.

(b) if so, what are the details of the same and reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is also a fact that prices of the same drug will differ from one producer to another due to their option of return;

(d) whether it is also a fact that all the producers of bulk drugs are not the manufacturers of the finished formulations;

(e) if so, what price of bulk drug will be taken for fixation of price of the finished formulation; and

(f) what measures has been proposed to save the poor patients from the exploitation of formulators?